



## Report of the Faculty Senate Assessment Committee

The committee did not convene in January, however several issues arose.

The committee chair was instructed to seek guidance from the Vice President for Academic Affairs regarding the necessity of completing an annual report. The VP said that he was not that concerned with the writeup itself, but that the work in compiling the course/section assessments needed to be done. The writeup, being merely a summary of what is provided to the committee by the Research Analyst is not particularly cumbersome by itself. Therefore it is logical that the committee should continue to write the report.

Concerning the ongoing issue of assessing all courses it does appear that the departments are already expected to keep track of which courses have been assessed and that it is within their scope and authority to create an assessment plan for their own courses. Repeating information from the previous report (12/14), this information is also available through the Research Analyst office.

The role of the Assessment Committee then, should continue as it was before. Rather than second-guessing the departments or questioning whether they are assessing their courses in a timely fashion, as colleagues, the committee would merely tabulate the course assessments that were received. In other colleges that have similar comm. naa assessments comm colleges

President for Academic Affairs about the assessment of the success of programs belongs as likely to be the case that each program is an instrument. These factors would make it a committee comprised of persons who can perform such a purpose. The Assessment course assessments coming from different